

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERTO ENRIQUEZ, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF BAKERSFIELD, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:24-cv-01588-CDB

ORDER ON STIPULATION
AMENDING SCHEDULING ORDER
AS MODIFIED

(Docs. 13, 25, 27)

ORDER VACATING PRETRIAL
CONFERENCE AND TRIAL DATES

Plaintiff Roberto Enriquez, Jr. ("Plaintiff") initiated this action with the filing of a complaint against Defendants City of Bakersfield and officers Marc TaFoya, Colin Newhouse, and Andrew Nabors (collectively, "Defendants") on December 26, 2024. (Doc. 1). On March 26, 2025, the Court entered the operative scheduling order setting forth discovery, motion and pretrial and trial dates and deadlines. (Doc. 13).

Following an informal discovery dispute conference before the undersigned, and for the reasons set forth and preserved on the record, on November 14, 2025, the Court directed the parties to file no later than November 24, 2025, a stipulated request for reasonable extensions of operative discovery and pretrial motion dates only and for, as appropriate, a court-convened settlement conference. (Doc. 25). On November 18, 2025, the Court referred this matter for a settlement conference on December 23, 2025, before Chief Magistrate Judge Carollyn K. Delaney. (Docs.

28, 29).

Pending before the Court is the parties' stipulated request to amend the scheduling order to extend operative discovery and pretrial motion dates, filed on November 18, 2025, pursuant to the Court's order on the parties' discovery dispute, and supported by a declaration of Counsel for Defendants, Heather Cohen. (Docs. 27; 27-1). Ms. Cohen articulates numerous circumstances implicated in this case, other cases involving counsel for both sides, and other non-litigation circumstances for which the parties stipulate and request the Court amend the scheduling order, as follows:

Event	Current Deadline	Proposed Deadline
Non-Expert Discovery	November 21, 2025	April 3, 2026
Expert Disclosure	December 8, 2025	April 13, 2026
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	January 9, 2026	May 4, 2026
Expert Discovery	January 30, 2026	May 18, 2026
Non-Dispositive Motion Filing	February 9, 2026	May 26, 2026
Non-Dispositive Motion Hearing	March 2, 2026, 10:30 AM	June 26, 2026
Dispositive Motion Filing	March 23, 2026	July 13, 2026
Dispositive Motion Hearing	May 1, 2026, 10:30 AM	August 17, 2026

Based on the parties' stipulated representations, the Court finds good cause exists to amend case management dates and deadlines of the scheduling order as modified and indicated below.

Significantly, because the parties seek to continue the hearing on dispositive motions to a date occurring just 30 days before the pretrial conference, the Court will vacate the pretrial conference and trial dates, to be reset as appropriate following either resolution of dispositive motions or upon the passage of the deadline without any party filing a dispositive motion.

Remainder of This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Conclusion and Order

In light of the parties' representations in their stipulation (Doc. 27), and for good cause shown, it is HEREBY ORDERED that the scheduling order (Doc. 13) is amended as modified as follows:

Event	Current Deadline	Amended Deadline
Non-Expert Discovery	November 21, 2025	April 3, 2026
Expert Disclosure	December 8, 2025	April 13, 2026
Rebuttal Expert Disclosure	January 9, 2026	May 4, 2026
Expert Discovery	January 30, 2026	May 18, 2026
Non-Dispositive Motion Filing	February 9, 2026	May 26, 2026
Non-Dispositive Motion Hearing	March 2, 2026, 10:30 AM	<u>June 26, 2026, 10:30 AM</u>
Dispositive Motion Filing	March 23, 2026	July 13, 2026
Dispositive Motion Hearing	May 1, 2026, 10:30 AM	<u>August 17, 2026, 10:30 AM</u>

And it is FURTHER ORDERED that the pretrial conference and trial dates are VACATED.

All other case management dates and provisions of the operative scheduling order (Doc. 13) not in conflict with this order remain unchanged.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2025


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE